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- 38 ACGME Accredited Programs
- 312 Residents/Fellows
- 5 Members of GME Office
  DIO, Manager, Supervisor, Data Analyst, Department Assistant
Next Accreditation System

Previous System

Institutional & Program Requirements

Complete IRD/PIF Responses Provide Evidence of Compliance

IRC/RRC Site Visit Verify IRD/PIF

Citations, Accreditation Decisions & Cycle Length

Respond, Relax?

NAS Continuous Cycle

Annual Reviews RRC & GMEC

Outcomes Assessed (Progress Reports)

Findings Improvement (Citations/Trends)

Address Findings (Action Plans)

Always Prepared
What Does This Mean For GME?

- RRC reviews programs annually
- Increased communications- Notification Letters
- Accreditation based on educational outcomes
- Ongoing data collection and trend analysis
- Continuous GMEC oversight to ensure programs are meeting standards
Our Process

1. Notification Letter Acknowledged by GMEC
2. Letter uploaded to RRC Site Review
3. Citations/Trends exported to GMEC form
4. Form sent to PD for Action Plans
5. PD Present Action Plans at GMEC
6. GMEC Outcomes: Approve, Edit, Progress report
Rationale

• Provides GME with oversight for program compliance
• Improvements must be tracked and documented
• Prepares for 10 year Self Study
• Documents housed in centralized location
• Better access for Program Directors and Program Administrators
• GMEC better informed to make decisions
NI RRC Site Review Module

- Administration > RRC Reviews > Site Reviews
- View Reviews for each program
- Allows for documentation of Citations/Concerns & Action Plans
- Export available
- Customize Citation Categories & Key Words
  - Administration > RRC Reviews > Setup
- Centralized document repository

Let’s see how it works
One Place to Hold All ACGME Documents

Site Review
- Program: Neurological Surgery
- Site Visit: 1/1/1980
- Effective Date: 1/1/1980
- Status
- Field Representative
- GMEC Team: View Team
- Notes

Documents
- Root
  - ACGME
    - Program Notifications
      - 2006-03-21 Notification Letter
      - 2010-03-30 Notification Letter
      - 2010-04-15 Initial Presentation_GMEC
      - 2010-09-16 Action Plans_GMEC
      - 2015-02-12 Notification Letter
      - 2015-07-16 Action Plans_GMEC
    - RRC Other Correspondance
      - 2009-08-07 Rotation Approval Notification-McGill
      - 2011-07-27-PD Change
      - 2012-04-18 Extended Cycle
      - 2013-08-28 Duty Hour Exception Approved
      - 2015-07-01 Duty Hour Exception Approved
    - RRC Site Visits
      - 2014-01-29 _Full Site Visit_Initial Notification
      - 2014-02-05 _Full Site Visit_ Notification
      - 2014-02-13n _Full Site Visit_ Notification_Date Change Approved
Site Visit Date = Effective Date of Letter
Initial Response Date = Date GMEC notified
ACGME Letter Date = Date of Letter
Preparatory Meeting Date = Date scheduled for PD to present to GMEC
Response Signoff Date = Date GMEC approves Action Plans
Citation/Concern

Action Plans

Follow-Up Notes

Response Date

Resolution Status

Citation or Concern

Concern

Citation Category

Education Program: Procedural Experience

Keywords

All | None | Invert

ACGME Resident Survey

Duty Hours

Education Program

Evaluation

1 Selected:

Description

Procedural Volume

On review of the 2013-2014 program case log report, the Committee noted that the graduating resident reported less than the required minimum

Remaining Characters: 3,055

1. It is stressed to residents that they must obtain satisfactory numbers for the critical care categories. Their involvement during SICU rotations, as well as during routine operative cases, should allow opportunity to satisfy the critical

Remaining Characters: 2,511

Response Date

7/16/2015

Resolution Status

Not Applicable

Response Submitted

Response Accepted

Response Rejected
ACGME RRC Notification Letter
GMEC Review of Action Plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date:</td>
<td>1/23/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Notification Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Continued Accreditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Presentation of Letter to GMEC:</td>
<td>2/19/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Plans Presented to GMEC Expected:</td>
<td>7/16/2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation: Education Program
Education Program: Scholarly Activities
Faculty Scholarly Activity [Program Requirement II.B.5.b)].(2]) Some members of the faculty should also demonstrate scholarship by publication of original research or review articles in peer-reviewed journals, or chapters in textbook. (Detail) The information provided for the annual program review did not demonstrate substantial compliance with the requirement. Specifically, on review of the faculty scholarly activity reported in the 2013-2014 ADS Annual Update for the 2012-2013 academic year, the Committee noted that only 2 of 5 core faculty reported a PMID.

Action Plan:
1. Because scholarly activity is important for both faculty and residents, we have developed a more research-focused curriculum for both faculty and residents, including dedicated research conferences.
   a. Once a month during our Neurosurgical Grand Rounds, a lecture will be held on the development of research skills, such as designing a research project, writing research questions, obtaining IRB approval, basic statistics and epidemiology and other skills necessary for successful clinical and basic science research.
   b. Each month a Research Project Review Conference will be held which will involve all neurosurgery faculty and residents. Each participant will be expected to discuss their ongoing research project, their progress on their research project and other members of the Division will take part in discussions as how to improve or assist the project.

GMEC Approval:
✓ Yes □ No

Progress Report: ✓ Yes □ No Date: 7/16/2015